



***In-vitro* Analysis of Inhibitory Potential of Fungicides and Biocontrol Agents against Vascular Wilt Pathogen, *Fusarium oxysporum* f. sp. *vasinfectum* infecting Cotton in Western U.P.**

Rahul Kumar Sharma and Mujeebur Rahman Khan

Department of Plant Protection, Faculty of Agricultural Sciences,
Aligarh Muslim University, Aligarh, 202002 (Uttar Pradesh)

ABSTRACT

Cotton yield production and productivity is significantly suppressed due to vascular wilt incited by *Fusarium oxysporum* f. sp. *vasinfectum* (FOV). The management of the wilt pathogen can be achieved by using various biocontrol agents, such as *Trichoderma* spp., *Pseudomonas* spp., and *Bacillus* spp., and fungicides, such as carbendazim, copper oxychloride, CM75% (carbendazim + mancozeb), propineb, mancozeb, vitavax, propiconazole and Amistar top. Among all the biocontrol agents, *T. harzianum*, *P. fluorescens*, *T. viride* and *T. atroviride* resulted highest inhibition (60-74%) of the mycelium growth of FOV was observed *in vitro*. The complete reduction (100%) in the mycelial growth of Fov was recorded with carbendazim, CM75%, propineb and mancozeb even at their used lowest concentration of 50 ppm among all the tested eight fungicides. The most significant biocontrol agents, including *T. harzianum*, *P. fluorescens*, *T. viride* and *T. atroviride* and fungicides, such as carbendazim, CM75%, propineb and mancozeb can be utilized in integrated disease management module for the best control of vascular wilt disease in cotton.

Key Words: Biocontrol agents, Cotton, Culture, Fungicides, *Fusarium* spp. Management.

INTRODUCTION

Cotton is one of the most significant fibre crops which is cultivating throughout the world (Cusser *et al*, 2016; Voora *et al*, 2020). The crop is mainly used for its natural fibre, oilseed, and animal feed (Chen *et al*, 2015; Zhang *et al*, 2019). The fibre production and productivity of the crop is hampered by numerous abiotic, biotic factors, and weeds which are causing significant suppression in the cotton yield (Pegg and Brady, 2002; Halpren *et al*, 2018; Hussain *et al*, 2024). Biotic factors includes; fungi, bacteria, virus and nematodes etc. (Kamburova *et al*, 2018; Tarazi *et al*, 2020), and all these pathogens are responsible for up to 30% losses in fibre yield (Tarazi *et al*, 2020). The most common soil borne, and foliar diseases of cotton are blackarm (*Xanthomonas campestris* pv.

malvacearum), anthracnose (*Colletotrichum gossypii* or *C. capsica*), wilt (*Fusarium oxysporum* f. sp. *vasinfectum*), grey mildew (*Ramularia areola*), root-rots (*Rhizoctonia bataticola*), leaf curl (Cotton leaf curl virus), verticillium wilt (*Verticillium dahliae*), and leaf blight (*Alternaria macrospora*) (Ramod, 2016; Patel *et al*, 2021).

Among all these diseases, *Fusarium oxysporum* f. sp. *vasinfectum* (FOV) is one of the most significant soil and seed borne pathogen that limits the fibre production and productivity of the crop (Armstrong and Armstrong, 1981; Atkinson 1892; Hillocks 1992; Sanogo and Zhang 2016; Zhu *et al*, 2022). The typical symptoms of wilt infected plants include chlorosis, yellowing, which is followed by leaf shedding, slow wilting, and in case of severe infection plant may die. One characteristic indication that is specific to fusarium

wilt is a reddish-brown discoloration can be seen when cutting sections of stem and roots of the plant (Ayubov *et al*, 2024). Like other soil-borne pathogens, FOV can live in soil for many years without finding a suitable host plant, making it difficult to eradicate (Bani *et al*, 2018). Between 1953 and 2012, the wilt disease caused fiber yield losses that ranged from 0.19 to 1.36% (Blasingame and Patel, 2013) and in the United States, the National Cotton Disease Council had calculated an estimated 109,000 bales (227 kg or 500 lb) yield reduction was recorded in the year 2004 (Blasingame and Patel, 2005). Due to economic importance of the cotton crop and to reduce the yield losses caused by the wilt fungi, an experiment was conducted to evaluate the antagonistic or inhibitory effect of various biocontrol agents (fungal and bacterial) and fungicide on the mycelial growth of FOV.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Isolation of *Fusarium oxysporum* f. sp. *vasinfectum*

Ten plants of cotton showing wilt disease symptoms were collected from the cotton fields. Sterilized poly bags were used to collect the plant samples and taken to the laboratory. To isolate wilt fungus, FOV, the small pieces (2-5mm) were cut from the stem and root of sample plant. After being surface sterilized for 30 seconds in 2.5% NaOCl (vol/vol), the pieces were rinsed two or three times with distilled water. The parts were put in a Petri plate with solidified potato dextrose agar (PDA) after being soaked on sterilized tissue paper. The inoculated plates were kept at 25±2°C in a BOD incubator. To identify *F. oxysporum* f. sp. *vasinfectum*, the fungal colonies that had grown on the plates were examined under a microscope (Updhayay and Rai, 1992; Gilman, 2001).

Dual culture test of biocontrol agents and wilt fungus

Antagonistic activity of nine isolates of bio-agents viz., *T. virens* ITC-477, *T. viride* AMUTVRD-13, *Trichoderma harzianum* AMUTHZM-21, *T. atroviride* AMUTATVRD-27, *T. longibrachiatum* AMUTLNG-23, *T. asperellum* AMUTA-1, *T. hamatum* AMUTHMT-19,

Pseudomonas fluorescens AMUPF-7 and *B. subtilis* AMURBS-7 against *F. oxysporum* f. sp. *vasinfectum* (FOV7) was evaluated using the dual culture technique (Zivkovic *et al*, 2009). Two mycelial discs (5 mm dia.) of each FOV7 and biocontrol fungi were placed on the solidified PDA, 3 cm apart from each other in a Petri plate. The plates inoculated with *F. oxysporum* f. sp. *vasinfectum* FOV7 without BCAs served as control. Every treatment was kept in five replicated plates. After inoculation, the plates were kept at 25±2 °C for two weeks. On PDA-containing Petri plates, the bacterial antagonists were streaked 3 cm from the pathogen's mycelial disc. The plates were then incubated for 7 days at 35±2 °C and monitored. The radial growth of the biocontrol agents and *Fusarium* colonies and inhibition zone were measured.

Calculating the minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) of fungicides against mycelial growth of *Fusarium oxysporum* f. sp. *vasinfectum*

Eight fungicides, enlisted in Table 2 were evaluated by poisoned food technique against *Fusarium oxysporum* f. sp. *vasinfectum* (FOV7) (Dhingra and Sinclair, 1985). The sterilised medium was mixed with stock solutions of the fungicides in a liquid state until the concentration of active ingredients reached 50, 100, 150, and 200 ppm. The mediums were poured in Petri plates (20 ml/ plate). After allowing the PDA in the plates to solidify, a mycelial disc of FOV7 (9 mm diameter) was positioned in the middle. A control (without fungicides) was maintained. Each treatment was maintained with five replicate plates. The plates were incubated at 25±2 °C. On the seventh day, the colonies' diameter was measured, and the following formula was used to determine the percentage of fungal growth inhibition:

$$PI = \{(C - T) / C\} \times 100$$

Where,

C = the test pathogen's growth (mm) in control;

T = the test pathogen's growth (mm) in the amended medium.

In-vitro Analysis of Inhibitory Potential of Fungicides and Biocontrol Agents

Table 1. *In vitro* efficacy of biocontrol agents in inhibiting of radial growth of *Fusarium oxysporum* f. sp. *Vasinfestum*.

Treatment	Radial growth (mm)		Percent inhibition over control (%)
	<i>F. oxysporum</i> f. sp. <i>vasinfestum</i>	Biocontrol agents	
Control (FOV7 alone)	90 ^a	--	--
<i>T. harzianum</i> AMUTHZM-21	24.1 ^c	65.9 ^a	73.3 ^a
<i>T. virens</i> ITC-477	31.1 ^b	58.9 ^b	65.5 ^{bc}
<i>T. viride</i> AMUTVRD-13	28.4 ^{bc}	61.6 ^b	68.4 ^{ab}
<i>T. hamatum</i> AMUTHMT-19	34.6 ^b	55.4 ^c	61.6 ^{cd}
<i>T. longibrachiatum</i> AMUTLNG-23	34.7 ^b	54.3 ^c	60.4 ^d
<i>T. asperellum</i> AMUTA-1	30.9 ^b	59.1 ^b	65.7 ^{bc}
<i>T. atroviride</i> AMUTATVRD-27	29.5 ^{bc}	60.5 ^b	67.2 ^b
<i>P. fluorescens</i> AMUPF-7	28.4 ^{bc}	61.6 ^b	68.5 ^{ab}
<i>B. subtilis</i> AMUBS-7	29.7 ^{bc}	60.3 ^b	66.7 ^b
LSD at P≤ 0.05	3.746*	2.044*	2.908*
F values of Treatments (df=8)	227.9*	212.9*	466.5*

Each value is a mean of five replicates. Values within a column followed by different alphabets are significantly different at P≤0.05 according to Tukey's test

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The nine biocontrol agents (fungi and bacteria) and eight fungicides were screened *in vitro* conditions to evaluate their effectiveness against *F. oxysporum* f. sp. *vasinfestum* (FOV7).

Effect of biocontrol agents on the colonization of *Fusarium oxysporum* f. sp. *vasinfestum*

Antagonistic activity of nine BCAs isolates viz., *T. virens* ITC-477, *Trichoderma harzianum* AMUTHZM-21, *T. viride* AMUTVRD-13, *T. longibrachiatum* AMUTLNG-23, *T. atroviride* AMUTATVRD-27, *T. hamatum* AMUTHMT-19, *T. asperellum* AMUTA-1, *B. subtilis* AMURBS-7 and *Pseudomonas fluorescens* AMUPF-7 against *F. oxysporum* f. sp. *vasinfestum* AMURFOV7 was evaluated using dual culture technique. The biocontrol agents inhibited the colonization of *F. oxysporum* f. sp. *vasinfestum*, *in vitro*. However, substantial variation in the degree of inhibition was observed among the BCAs (60-74%). *T. harzianum* AMUTHZM-21, *P. fluorescens* AMUPF-7, *T. viride* AMUTVRD-13 and *T.*

atroviride AMUTATVRD-27 were recorded highest effective and suppressed the growth of mycelial of wilt fungus by 73-69% (P≤0.05), followed by *B. subtilis* AMUBS-7 (67%), *T. asperellum* AMUTA-1 (66%), *T. virens* ITC-477 (65%), *T. hamatum* AMUTHMT-19 (62%) and *T. longibrachiatum* AMUTLNG-23 (60%) over control (Table 1).

In vitro effectiveness of fungicides against *Fusarium oxysporum* f. sp. *vasinfestum*

Eight fungicides viz., carbendazim, copper oxychloride, CM75% (carbendazim + mancozeb), propineb, mancozeb, vitavax, propiconazole and Amistar top were evaluated against *F. oxysporum* f. sp. *vasinfestum* (FOV7) at various concentrations (50, 100, 150 and 200 ppm) using poisoned food method to find the minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) of each fungicide. As fungicide concentrations increased, resulting in the inhibition of fungal colonization usually. The cent percent reduction in the mycelial growth was recorded at 50 ppm of the carbendazim,

Table 2. Analysis of minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) of various fungicides using poisoned food technique *in vitro*

Fungicide	Percent inhibition of <i>Fusarium oxysporum</i> f. sp. <i>vasinfectum</i>			
	50 ppm	100 ppm	150 ppm	200 ppm
Control (FOV7 alone)	00	00	00	00
Mancozeb	100 ^a	100 ^a	100 ^a	100 ^a
Copper oxychloride	69.39 ^b	73.87 ^c	76.89 ^c	83.59 ^b
Amistar Top	65.68 ^b	69.40 ^c	76.87 ^c	78.35 ^c
CM75% (Carbendazim+Mancozeb)	100 ^a	100 ^a	100 ^a	100 ^a
Vitavex	67.16 ^b	88.80 ^b	91.78 ^b	97.02 ^a
Carbendazim	100 ^a	100 ^a	100 ^a	100 ^a
Propiconazole	62.68 ^c	72.39 ^c	86.67 ^b	73.14 ^d
Propineb	100 ^a	100 ^a	100 ^a	100 ^a
LSD at P≤ 0.05	4.851	4.044	3.559	2.426
F values of Treatments (df=7)	395.9*	557.4*	713.5*	1563*

Each value is a mean of five replicates. Values within a column followed by different alphabets are significantly different at $P \leq 0.01$ and $P \leq 0.05$ according to Tukey's test. Significant at $P \leq 0.01$.

CM75%, propineb and mancozeb. The vitavex, copper oxychloride, Amistar top and propiconazole induced significant inhibition in the colonization of the fungus (Table 2).

CONCLUSION

The experiment has demonstrated that among nine isolates of BCAs, *T. harzianum* AMUTHZM-21, *P. fluorescens* AMUPF-7, *T. viride* AMUTVRD-13 and *T. atroviride* AMUTATRVD-27 showed highest antagonism against *F. oxysporum* f. sp. *vasinfectum* FOV7. Whereas *T. virens* ITC-477, *T. hamatum* AMUTHMT-19 and *T. longibrachiatum* AMUTLNG-23 were found relatively less suppressive to the wilt fungus. Among eight fungicides tested *in vitro* against FOV7, carbendazim, CM75%, propineb and mancozeb proved to be the most effective inducing 100% suppression of the wilt fungus at 50 ppm concentration and other four fungicides like Copper oxychloride, Amistar Top, Propiconazole and Vitavex are lesser effective at lower concentration.

REFERENCES

- Armstrong G M and Armstrong J K (1981). *Formae speciales and races of Fusarium oxysporum* causing wilt diseases. In *Fusarium: disease, biology, and taxonomy* (P. E. Nelson, T. A. Toussoun & R. J. Cook, eds): *Pennsylvania State University Press*, University Park, PN. 391-399.
- Atkinson G F (1892). Some diseases of cotton. *Alabama Polytechnical Institute of Agriculture – Experimental Station Bulletin* **41**:61-5.
- Ayubov S M, Ibrokhim Y A, Abdusalom K M, Bekhzod O M, Abdurakhmon N Y, Nuriddin S O, Ziyodullo H B, Anvarjon A M, Mukhtor M D, Khurshida A U, Shukhrat E S, Zabardast T B, Ulmasboy T S and Abdurakhmonov N Y (2024). Recent Studies on *Fusarium* Wilt in Cotton. *Fusarium - Recent Studies. Intech Open* DOI: 10.5772/intech-open.1004901.

In-vitro Analysis of Inhibitory Potential of Fungicides and Biocontrol Agents

- Bani M, Pérez-De-Luque A, Rubiales D and Rispail N (2018). Physical and chemical barriers in root tissues contribute to quantitative resistance to *Fusarium oxysporum* f. sp. *pisi* in pea. *Front Pl Sci* **9**:199-225.
- Blasingame D and Patel M V (2013). Cotton disease loss estimate committee report. in: Proceedings of the Beltwide Cotton Conferences, San Antonio, TX. *Natl. Cotton Counc. Am Memphis TN* 1242-1245
- Blasingame D and Patel M V (2005). Cotton disease loss estimate committee report. in: Proc. Beltwide Cotton Conf. *National Cotton Council of America, Memphis, TN*. 259-262
- Chen J Y, Jin-Qun H, Nan-Yang L, Xue-Feng M, Wang J, Chuan L, Yongfeng L, Yong L, Yu-Ming B and Xiao-Feng D (2015). Genome-wide analysis of the gene families of resistance gene analogues in cotton and their response to Verticillium wilt. *BMC Pl Biol* **15**(1): 148.
- Cusser S, Neff J L and Jha S (2016). Natural land cover drives pollinator abundance and richness, leading to reductions in pollen limitation in cotton agro-ecosystems. *Agri, Ecosyst & Environ* **226**: 33-42.
- Dhingra O D and Sinclair J B (1985). Basic Plant Pathology Methods. *CRC Press, Boca Raton, USA*.
- Gilman J C (2001). A Manual of Soil fungi, 2nd Indian edition. *Biotech Books, Delhi. York, NY: John Wiley & Sons, Inc*, **17**: 336-341.
- Halpern H C, Bell A A, Wagner T A, Liu J, Nichols R L, Olvey J and Brewer M T (2018). First report of Fusarium wilt of cotton caused by *Fusarium oxysporum* f. sp. *vasinfectum* race 4 in Texas, USA. *Pl Dis* **102**(2): 446-446.
- Hillocks R J (1992). Fusarium wilt. In 'Cotton Diseases'. (Ed. R. J. Hillocks.) *CAB International: Wallingford, UK* 127-60.
- Hussain M, Gao X, Qin D, Qin X and Wu G (2024). Role of Biotic and Abiotic Factors for Sustainable Cotton Production. In book: *Best Crop Management and Processing Practices for Sustainable Cotton Production* 1-24.
- Kamburova V and Abdurakhmonov I Y (2018). Overview of the biosafety and risk assessment steps for insect-resistant biotech crops. In: Emani C, editor. The Biology of Plant-Insect Interactions. In a Compendium for the Plant Biotechnologist. *USA: CRC Press* 178-203.
- Patel B K, Sandipan P B, Chawada S K and Patel R K (2021). Evaluation of different biocontrol agents against *Fusarium oxysporum* f. sp. *vasinfectum* (FOV) under in vitro condition of South Gujarat. *Int J Chem Stud* **9**(1): 998-1000.
- Pegg G F and Brady B L (2002). Verticillium wilts, [ed. by Pegg G F, Brady B L]. Wallingford, UK: *CABI Publishing* 7:552.
- Ramod P (2016). *Studies on management of anthracnose disease of Bt-cotton caused Colletotrichum capsici*. M.Sc. (Plant Pathology) Thesis. The Vasantrao Naik Marathwada Krushi Vidyapeeth Parbhani.
- Sanogo S and Zhang J F (2016). Resistance sources, resistance screening techniques and disease management for *Fusarium* wilt in cotton. *Euphytica* **207**: 255-271.
- Tarazi R, Jimenez J L and Vaslin M F (2020). Biotechnological solutions for major cotton (*Gossypium hirsutum*) pathogens and pests. *Biotechnol Res and Innov* **3**:19-26.

Rahul Kumar Sharma and Mujeebur Rahman Khan

- Upadhyay R S and Rai B (1992). Wilt of pigeonpea. In A. N. Singh, J. Mukhopadhyay, J. Kumar and H. S. Chaube (eds) Plant Diseases of International Importance, Volume I, Cereals and Pulses (New Jersey, USA: Prentice-Hall Inc.) **1**: 388-414.
- Voora V, Larrea C and Bermudez S (2020). "Global Market Report: Cotton". State of Sustainability Initiatives. *Archived from the original on 27 January 2021*.
- Zhan M J, Xia L, Zhan L and Wang Y (2019). Recognition of changes in air and soil temperatures at a station typical of China's subtropical monsoon region. *Adv Meteorol* 6927045: 1961-2018.
- Zhu Y, Abdelraheem A, Cooke P, Wheeler T, Dever J K, Wedegaertner T, Hake K and Zhang J (2022). Comparative Analysis of Infection Process in Pima Cotton Differing in Resistance to Fusarium Wilt Caused by *Fusarium oxysporum* f. sp. *vasinfectum* Race 4. *The American Phytopathological Soc* **112**: 4.
- Zivkovic S, Stojanovic S, Ivanovic Z, Gavrilovic V, Oro V and Balaz J (2009). *In vitro* inhibition of *Colletotrichum acutatum* and *Colletotrichum gloeosporioides* by microbial antagonists. 6th Balkan Congress of Microbiology, Ohrid, Macedonia, *Book of Abstracts* 164-165.

Received on 16/09/2024 Accepted on 08/01/2025